

# The Minimal $\alpha$ -Degree Problem Revisited

Chi Tat Chong

National University of Singapore

chongct@nus.edu.sg

Berkeley 11 October 2024



# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.



# Recursion theory on admissible ordinals

A limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *admissible* if  $(L_\alpha, \in) \models KP$ .

- Given  $A, B \subseteq \alpha$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive in  $B$  ( $A \leq_\alpha B$ ) if there is an algorithm for computing every  $\alpha$ -finite subset of  $A$  and  $\alpha \setminus A$  using  $\alpha$ -finite information about  $B$  and  $\alpha \setminus B$ .
- $\leq_\alpha$  is a transitive relation.
- $A \equiv_\alpha B$  means  $A \leq_\alpha B$  and  $B \leq_\alpha A$ .
- $\equiv_\alpha$  decomposes subsets of  $\alpha$  into equivalence classes called  $\alpha$ -degrees.
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the  $\alpha$ -recursive sets.
- $\mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of the halting set  $\emptyset'$ , etc.

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .

# Brief overview

- (Sacks and Simpson, 1972) The Friedberg-Muchnik Theorem holds for all admissible  $\alpha$ .
- (Lerman, 1974) There is a maximal  $\alpha$ -r.e. set if and only if  $S_3\text{-projectum}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
- (Shore, 1976) The  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees are dense.
- (S Friedman, 1981) Assume  $V = L$ . If  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -degrees  $\geq \mathbf{0}'$  are well-ordered with successor generated via the jump operator. Every  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}'$  is the  $\alpha$ -degree of a master code.
- (Greenberg, Shore and Slaman, 2006) If  $\alpha = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ , then the  $\omega$ -degree of the theory of  $\alpha$ -r.e. degrees is that of  $\mathcal{O}^{(\omega)}$ .
- (Chong and Slaman, 2010) The theory of the  $\alpha$ -degrees is undecidable for all  $\alpha$ .



# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -degree  $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$  is minimal if for all  $\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (Spector, 1956) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree.
- (Sacks, 1963) There is a minimal  $\omega$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .
- (J Macintyre, 1973) If  $\alpha$  is countable or a regular cardinal, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree.
- (Shore, 1972) If  $\alpha$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible, then there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree  $< \mathbf{0}'$ .

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.



# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The minimal $\alpha$ -degree problem

*Prove that there is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for every admissible  $\alpha$ .*

The Spector construction of a set of minimal  $\omega$ -degree:

- Forcing with perfect trees to produce a generic  $G$ ;
- Every oracle computation  $\Phi$  is assigned with a recursive perfect tree  $T_\Phi$  which is either “splitting” or “full”;
- For each  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ .
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a splitting tree, then  $\Phi^G \equiv_T G$ ;
- If  $\Phi^G$  is total and  $T_\Phi$  is a full tree, then  $\Phi^G$  is recursive.

# The Spector technology

- The map

$$e \mapsto (\text{Index of}) T_{\Phi_e}$$

can be made  $\emptyset''$ -recursive so as to obtain a set of minimal degree  $<_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{0}''$ .

- By refining the construction with a  $\emptyset$ -recursive approximation, one can obtain a solution below  $\mathbf{0}'$ .
- This idea can be extended to handle  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible ordinals.

# The Spector technology

- The map

$$e \mapsto (\text{Index of}) T_{\Phi_e}$$

can be made  $\emptyset''$ -recursive so as to obtain a set of minimal degree  $<_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{0}''$ .

- By refining the construction with a  $\emptyset$ -recursive approximation, one can obtain a solution below  $\mathbf{0}'$ .
- This idea can be extended to handle  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible ordinals.

# The Spector technology

- The map

$$e \mapsto (\text{Index of}) T_{\Phi_e}$$

can be made  $\emptyset''$ -recursive so as to obtain a set of minimal degree  $<_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{0}''$ .

- By refining the construction with a  $\emptyset$ -recursive approximation, one can obtain a solution below  $\mathbf{0}'$ .
- This idea can be extended to handle  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible ordinals.



# The Spector technology

- The map

$$e \mapsto (\text{Index of}) T_{\Phi_e}$$

can be made  $\emptyset''$ -recursive so as to obtain a set of minimal degree  $<_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{0}''$ .

- By refining the construction with a  $\emptyset$ -recursive approximation, one can obtain a solution below  $\mathbf{0}'$ .
- This idea can be extended to handle  $\Sigma_2$ -admissible ordinals.

# Failure of the Spector idea

The approach fails for  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible  $\alpha$ . As an example:

- Let  $\alpha = \aleph_\omega^L$ . For  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\Phi_n^\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{If } L_x \models \text{“There are less than } n \text{ cardinals”} \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- For any  $G$  and  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n^G$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive, and the Spector construction mandates  $T_{\Phi_n}$  to be a full tree.
- Major obstruction:  
The set (of indices of)  $\{\Phi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\alpha$ -finite but  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} T_{\Phi_n} = \{G\}$  is a single path and not an  $\alpha$ -recursive perfect tree.
- Similar situation for any  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible cardinal.

# Failure of the Spector idea

The approach fails for  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible  $\alpha$ . As an example:

- Let  $\alpha = \aleph_\omega^L$ . For  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\Phi_n^\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{If } L_x \models \text{“There are less than } n \text{ cardinals”} \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- For any  $G$  and  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n^G$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive, and the Spector construction mandates  $T_{\Phi_n}$  to be a full tree.
- Major obstruction:  
The set (of indices of)  $\{\Phi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\alpha$ -finite but  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} T_{\Phi_n} = \{G\}$  is a single path and not an  $\alpha$ -recursive perfect tree.
- Similar situation for any  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible cardinal.

# Failure of the Spector idea

The approach fails for  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible  $\alpha$ . As an example:

- Let  $\alpha = \aleph_\omega^L$ . For  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\Phi_n^\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{If } L_x \models \text{"There are less than } n \text{ cardinals"} \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- For any  $G$  and  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n^G$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive, and the Spector construction mandates  $T_{\Phi_n}$  to be a full tree.
- Major obstruction:  
The set (of indices of)  $\{\Phi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\alpha$ -finite but  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} T_{\Phi_n} = \{G\}$  is a single path and not an  $\alpha$ -recursive perfect tree.
- Similar situation for any  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible cardinal.

# Failure of the Spector idea

The approach fails for  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible  $\alpha$ . As an example:

- Let  $\alpha = \aleph_\omega^L$ . For  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\Phi_n^\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{If } L_x \models \text{“There are less than } n \text{ cardinals”} \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- For any  $G$  and  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n^G$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive, and the Spector construction mandates  $T_{\Phi_n}$  to be a full tree.
- Major obstruction:  
The set (of indices of)  $\{\Phi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\alpha$ -finite but  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} T_{\Phi_n} = \{G\}$  is a single path and not an  $\alpha$ -recursive perfect tree.
- Similar situation for any  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible cardinal.

# Failure of the Spector idea

The approach fails for  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible  $\alpha$ . As an example:

- Let  $\alpha = \aleph_\omega^L$ . For  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\Phi_n^\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{If } L_x \models \text{"There are less than } n \text{ cardinals"} \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- For any  $G$  and  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n^G$  is  $\alpha$ -recursive, and the Spector construction mandates  $T_{\Phi_n}$  to be a full tree.
- Major obstruction:  
The set (of indices of)  $\{\Phi_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\alpha$ -finite but  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} T_{\Phi_n} = \{G\}$  is a single path and not an  $\alpha$ -recursive perfect tree.
- Similar situation for any  $\Sigma_2$ -inadmissible cardinal.

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree for $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ under $V = L$

Theorem ( $V = L$ )

*If  $\mathbf{a}$  is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree, then  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{0}'$ .*

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree for $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ under $V = L$

Theorem ( $V = L$ )

*If  $\mathbf{a}$  is a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree, then  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{0}'$ .*



# Growth function of a set under $V = L$

## Definition ( $v = L$ )

Let  $A \subseteq \alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . The growth function  $f_A$  of  $A$  is

$$f_A(x) = \text{the order of } A \upharpoonright x \text{ in } L.$$

## Definition

$A \subseteq \alpha$  is tame if there is a  $B \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$  such that

$$\{\nu : \nu < \omega_1 \text{ and } f_A(\aleph_\nu) \leq f_B(\aleph_\nu)\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Lemma

If  $A \subseteq \alpha$  is tame, then  $A \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ .

# Growth function of a set under $V = L$

## Definition ( $v = L$ )

Let  $A \subseteq \alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . The growth function  $f_A$  of  $A$  is

$$f_A(x) = \text{the order of } A \upharpoonright x \text{ in } L.$$

## Definition

$A \subset \alpha$  is tame if there is a  $B \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$  such that

$$\{\nu : \nu < \omega_1 \text{ and } f_A(\aleph_\nu) \leq f_B(\aleph_\nu)\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Lemma

*If  $A \subset \alpha$  is tame, then  $A \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ .*

# Growth function of a set under $V = L$

## Definition ( $v = L$ )

Let  $A \subseteq \alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . The growth function  $f_A$  of  $A$  is

$$f_A(x) = \text{the order of } A \upharpoonright x \text{ in } L.$$

## Definition

$A \subset \alpha$  is tame if there is a  $B \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$  such that

$$\{\nu : \nu < \omega_1 \text{ and } f_A(\aleph_\nu) \leq f_B(\aleph_\nu)\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Lemma

*If  $A \subset \alpha$  is tame, then  $A \leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ .*

# Minimal $\alpha$ -degree for $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ under $V = L$

## Lemma

*For any  $A \subset \alpha$  either  $\text{deg}(A)$  is not a minimal  $\alpha$ -degree, or  $A$  is tame.*

## Below $\emptyset'$

A tree  $T$  is tagged with an  $\alpha$ -recursive function  $f : T \rightarrow \alpha$  if

- $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\}$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$ ;
- For all  $\sigma \in T$ ,  $f(\sigma) \leq |\sigma|$ .

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-splitting for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\sigma \upharpoonright f(\sigma) \neq \tau \upharpoonright f(\tau) \Rightarrow \exists x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\} (\Phi^\sigma(x) \neq \Phi^\tau(x)).$$

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-full for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\Phi^\sigma(x) = \Phi^\tau(x) \text{ for all } x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\}.$$

## Below $\emptyset'$

A tree  $T$  is tagged with an  $\alpha$ -recursive function  $f : T \rightarrow \alpha$  if

- $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\}$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$ ;
- For all  $\sigma \in T$ ,  $f(\sigma) \leq |\sigma|$ .

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-splitting for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\sigma \upharpoonright f(\sigma) \neq \tau \upharpoonright f(\tau) \Rightarrow \exists x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\} (\Phi^\sigma(x) \neq \Phi^\tau(x)).$$

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-full for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\Phi^\sigma(x) = \Phi^\tau(x) \text{ for all } x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\}.$$

## Below $\emptyset'$

A tree  $T$  is tagged with an  $\alpha$ -recursive function  $f : T \rightarrow \alpha$  if

- $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\}$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$ ;
- For all  $\sigma \in T$ ,  $f(\sigma) \leq |\sigma|$ .

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-splitting for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\sigma \upharpoonright f(\sigma) \neq \tau \upharpoonright f(\tau) \Rightarrow \exists x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\} (\Phi^\sigma(x) \neq \Phi^\tau(x)).$$

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-full for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\Phi^\sigma(x) = \Phi^\tau(x) \text{ for all } x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\}.$$

## Below $\emptyset'$

A tree  $T$  is tagged with an  $\alpha$ -recursive function  $f : T \rightarrow \alpha$  if

- $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\}$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$ ;
- For all  $\sigma \in T$ ,  $f(\sigma) \leq |\sigma|$ .

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-splitting for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\sigma \upharpoonright f(\sigma) \neq \tau \upharpoonright f(\tau) \Rightarrow \exists x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\} (\Phi^\sigma(x) \neq \Phi^\tau(x)).$$

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-full for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\Phi^\sigma(x) = \Phi^\tau(x) \text{ for all } x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\}.$$



## Below $\emptyset'$

A tree  $T$  is tagged with an  $\alpha$ -recursive function  $f : T \rightarrow \alpha$  if

- $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in T\}$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$ ;
- For all  $\sigma \in T$ ,  $f(\sigma) \leq |\sigma|$ .

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-splitting for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\sigma \upharpoonright f(\sigma) \neq \tau \upharpoonright f(\tau) \Rightarrow \exists x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\} (\Phi^\sigma(x) \neq \Phi^\tau(x)).$$

### Definition

An  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T$  tagged with  $f$  is quasi-full for  $\Phi$  if

- For all  $\sigma, \tau \in T$ ,

$$\Phi^\sigma(x) = \Phi^\tau(x) \text{ for all } x \leq \min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau)\}.$$

# Below $0'$

(Chong, 1979) The  $\alpha$ -degree of  $G \leq_\alpha 0'$  is minimal if and only if

1 For each  $\Phi$ , if  $\Phi^G$  is total then there is an  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T_\Phi$  tagged with an  $f$  such that  $T_\Phi$  is either quasi-splitting or quasi-full for  $\Phi$ ;

2  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ ;

3

$$\{\min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau) : \sigma, \tau \in T_\Phi \ \& \ \sigma \prec G, \tau \not\prec G\}\}$$

is unbounded in  $\alpha$ .

(Chong, 1979) The  $\alpha$ -degree of  $G \leq_\alpha 0'$  is minimal if and only if

- 1 For each  $\Phi$ , if  $\Phi^G$  is total then there is an  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T_\Phi$  tagged with an  $f$  such that  $T_\Phi$  is either quasi-splitting or quasi-full for  $\Phi$ ;
- 2  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ ;

3

$$\{\min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau) : \sigma, \tau \in T_\Phi \text{ \& } \sigma \prec G, \tau \not\prec G\}\}$$

is unbounded in  $\alpha$ .

(Chong, 1979) The  $\alpha$ -degree of  $G \leq_\alpha 0'$  is minimal if and only if

1 For each  $\Phi$ , if  $\Phi^G$  is total then there is an  $\alpha$ -recursive tree  $T_\Phi$  tagged with an  $f$  such that  $T_\Phi$  is either quasi-splitting or quasi-full for  $\Phi$ ;

2  $G$  is a path on  $T_\Phi$ ;

3

$$\{\min\{f(\sigma), f(\tau) : \sigma, \tau \in T_\Phi \ \& \ \sigma \prec G, \tau \not\prec G\}\}$$

is unbounded in  $\alpha$ .

# When is the degree of $A$ minimal?

## Theorem ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . Then  $A \subset \alpha$  is of minimal  $\alpha$ -degree if and only if

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \text{ is of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Corollary ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . If  $A \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ , then

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset' \ \& \ \text{is not of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

# When is the degree of $A$ minimal?

## Theorem ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . Then  $A \subset \alpha$  is of minimal  $\alpha$ -degree if and only if

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \text{ is of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Corollary ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . If  $A \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ , then

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset' \ \& \ \text{is not of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

# When is the degree of $A$ minimal?

## Theorem ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . Then  $A \subset \alpha$  is of minimal  $\alpha$ -degree if and only if

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \text{ is of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

## Corollary ( $V = L$ )

Let  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ . If  $A \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset'$ , then

$$\{\nu : A \upharpoonright \aleph_\nu \not\leq_\alpha \emptyset' \text{ \& \textit{is not of minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree}\}$$

is stationary in  $\omega_1$ .

# Countable vs uncountable cofinality

## Corollary ( $V = L$ )

*If there is a minimal  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$ -degree below  $\mathbf{0}'$ , then the set*

$$\{\nu : \text{There is a minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree below } \mathbf{0}'\}$$

*is stationary in  $\omega_1$ . In particular, each such  $\nu$  is countable.*

*Conjecture:*

Assume  $V = L$ . There is no minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ .



# Countable vs uncountable cofinality

## Corollary ( $V = L$ )

*If there is a minimal  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$ -degree below  $\mathbf{0}'$ , then the set*

$$\{\nu : \text{There is a minimal } \aleph_\nu\text{-degree below } \mathbf{0}'\}$$

*is stationary in  $\omega_1$ . In particular, each such  $\nu$  is countable.*

*Conjecture:*

Assume  $V = L$ . There is no minimal  $\alpha$ -degree for  $\alpha = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ .